# Solution Outlines

Jury

GCPC 2014





# Algebraic Teamwork

#### Algebraic problem

# Determine the number of non-idempotent permutations on some finite set.

#### Algebraic problem

Determine the number of non-idempotent permutations on some finite set.

Intuition: Identity is the only idempotent permutation.

#### Algebraic problem

Determine the number of non-idempotent permutations on some finite set.

**Intuition:** Identity is the only idempotent permutation. **Proof.** Let  $f : A \to A$  be idempotent & bijective:  $f(a) \stackrel{\text{bijective}}{=} f^{-1} \circ f \circ f(a) \stackrel{\text{idempotent}}{=} f^{-1} \circ f(a) \stackrel{\text{bijective}}{=} id(a)$ 

#### Algebraic problem

Determine the number of non-idempotent permutations on some finite set.

**Intuition:** Identity is the only idempotent permutation. **Proof.** Let  $f : A \to A$  be idempotent & bijective:  $f(a) \stackrel{\text{bijective}}{=} f^{-1} \circ f \circ f(a) \stackrel{\text{idempotent}}{=} f^{-1} \circ f(a) \stackrel{\text{bijective}}{=} id(a)$ 

#### Solution

For input *n*, print  $(n! - 1) \mod 10^9 + 9$ .

(Substracting 1 without mod worked because of lucky constraints).

- Evidently, the maze is a directed graph.
- If a cycle is reachable from room 1, you can get stuck if you are unlucky.
- Else the number of beaming operations is limited.
- $\Rightarrow$  Detect cycles with DFS.

- Evidently, the maze is a directed graph.
- If a cycle is reachable from room 1, you can get stuck if you are unlucky.
- Else the number of beaming operations is limited.
- $\Rightarrow$  Detect cycles with DFS.
- If the graph contains (reachable) dead ends, we don't have a warranty that we reach the goal room.
- Else the probability is 100%.
- $\Rightarrow$  Use DFS on reversed graph.

- Evidently, the maze is a directed graph.
- If a cycle is reachable from room 1, you can get stuck if you are unlucky.
- Else the number of beaming operations is limited.
- $\Rightarrow$  Detect cycles with DFS.
- If the graph contains (reachable) dead ends, we don't have a warranty that we reach the goal room.
- Else the probability is 100%.
- $\Rightarrow$  Use DFS on reversed graph.
- Combine information from both DFS runs.

#### Problem: Traveling Salesman Problem

- in 2D Euclidean space
- additional bitonic restriction

# **Bounty Hunter**



#### **Possible Solution**

- partition trip into a left-to-right (LR) and a right-to-left (RL) path
- iterate over all places v from left to right
  - put v in the LR path or in the RL path
- use DP

# **Bounty Hunter**



#### **Possible Solution**

- DP with state (v, last in LR, first in RL) is in  $O(n^3)$ .
- However v can be computed as max(LR, RL) + 1.
- $\Rightarrow O(n^2)$  solution

• Input is a directed acyclic graph (DAG).

- Input is a directed acyclic graph (DAG).
- Trying all paths would be exponential.

- Input is a directed acyclic graph (DAG).
- Trying all paths would be exponential.
- However, the sub-result for trying all paths downwards a specific cave will not change.

- Input is a directed acyclic graph (DAG).
- Trying all paths would be exponential.
- However, the sub-result for trying all paths downwards a specific cave will not change.
- $\bullet \ \Rightarrow \mathsf{DFS} \ \mathsf{with} \ \mathsf{Memoization}$

- Input is a directed acyclic graph (DAG).
- Trying all paths would be exponential.
- However, the sub-result for trying all paths downwards a specific cave will not change.
- $\bullet \ \Rightarrow \mathsf{DFS}$  with Memoization

• 
$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(N+E)$$

- Input is a directed acyclic graph (DAG).
- Trying all paths would be exponential.
- However, the sub-result for trying all paths downwards a specific cave will not change.
- $\Rightarrow$  DFS with Memoization
- $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(N+E)$
- $\bullet\,$  Alternative solution: topologically sort caves + DP

- Input is a directed acyclic graph (DAG).
- Trying all paths would be exponential.
- However, the sub-result for trying all paths downwards a specific cave will not change.
- $\Rightarrow$  DFS with Memoization
- $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(N+E)$
- $\bullet\,$  Alternative solution: topologically sort caves + DP
- $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(N+E)$

### Equator



### Equator

Linear version i.e. without wrapping 
$$\rightsquigarrow DP$$
  

$$p(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < 0 \\ c_i + \max(0, p(i-1)) & \text{else} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \max_{-1 \le i < n} p(i)$$

#### With wrapping

- Optimal solution does not wrap?
   → Can be found by linear version.
- Optimal solution wraps?
   → Find minimal interval of cities not to rob (identical DP) and subtract it from total c<sub>i</sub> sum.

#### • Math problem of the set

#### Gold Rush

• Math problem of the set

• Consider 
$$a = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i 2^i$$
 in binary notation

### Gold Rush

- Math problem of the set
- Consider  $a = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i 2^i$  in binary notation
- As  $a + b = 2^n$ , the smallest non-zero index i' must be identical

### Gold Rush

- Math problem of the set
- Consider  $a = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i 2^i$  in binary notation
- As  $a + b = 2^n$ , the smallest non-zero index i' must be identical
- Counting downwards from n to i', the answer is c := n i'

- Math problem of the set
- Consider  $a = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i 2^i$  in binary notation
- As  $a + b = 2^n$ , the smallest non-zero index i' must be identical
- Counting downwards from n to i', the answer is c := n i'
- Running time O(n) with step-by-step bisections of a until zero.

# Jewelry Exhibition



1 2 3 4



- Rows and columns form a bipartite graph.
- Exhibit at (x, y) represent an edge  $\{a_{\lceil x \rceil}, b_{\lceil y \rceil}\}$ .

# Jewelry Exhibition



2 3 4

1



- Rows and columns form a bipartite graph.
- Exhibit at (x, y) represent an edge  $\{a_{\lceil x \rceil}, b_{\lceil y \rceil}\}$ .

⇒ Find size of the minimum vertex cover.

# Jewelry Exhibition



- Rows and columns form a bipartite graph.
- Exhibit at (x, y) represent an edge {a<sub>[x]</sub>, b<sub>[y]</sub>}.

- ⇒ Find size of the minimum vertex cover.
- König-Egerváry Theorem: sizes of minimum vertex cover and maximum matching are equal in bipartite graphs.
- Solution: find maximum matching in  $\mathcal{O}(N^2M)$ .

1234

• Naive solution requires  $C \cdot O$ :  $\sim 10^{11}$  operations

- Naive solution requires  $C \cdot O$ :  $\sim 10^{11}$  operations
- Coord compression reduces C to  $4 \cdot O$ :  $\sim 10^{10}$  ops

- Naive solution requires  $C \cdot O$ :  $\sim 10^{11}$  operations
- Coord compression reduces C to  $4 \cdot O$ :  $\sim 10^{10}$  ops
- $\Rightarrow$  Advanced data structure necessary
- Segment tree with lazy propagation
- Inner nodes represent intervals
- Store minimum, maximum and value in each node
- Propagate values only when necessary
- Answer queries in  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$

- Naive solution requires  $C \cdot O$ :  $\sim 10^{11}$  operations
- Coord compression reduces C to  $4 \cdot O$ :  $\sim 10^{10}$  ops
- $\Rightarrow$  Advanced data structure necessary
- Segment tree with lazy propagation
- Inner nodes represent intervals
- Store minimum, maximum and value in each node
- Propagate values only when necessary
- Answer queries in  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
- (Even more efficient: combine both ideas)

- Naive solution requires  $C \cdot O$ :  $\sim 10^{11}$  operations
- Coord compression reduces C to 4  $\cdot$  O:  $\sim$  10<sup>10</sup> ops
- $\Rightarrow$  Advanced data structure necessary
- Segment tree with lazy propagation
- Inner nodes represent intervals
- Store minimum, maximum and value in each node
- Propagate values only when necessary
- Answer queries in  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
- (Even more efficient: combine both ideas)
- Don't use billions of objects in Java your Garbage Collector will go crazy!

### Laser Cutting

- Basic geometry problem
- Required algorithms:
  - Intersection of two lines
  - Point in polygon
- First condition: Every line of a polyline may only intersect with the next and the previous line of that polyline.
- Second condition: For two different polylines, any line from the first may not intersect with any line from the second.

### Laser Cutting

#### • Third condition:

- For any two polygons, check whether one contains the other.
- To do so, check whether an arbitray boundary point of the one polygon is in the other polygon.
- As polygons do not touch, this is sufficient.
- If any polygon is inside two other polygons, the condition is failed.
- To use (in Java):
  - java.awt.geom.Line2D.linesIntersect
  - java.awt.geom.Path2D.contains

### Not a subsequence

- Focus on length of shortest non-subsequence (counting them is done similarly).
- Start with DP in  $\mathcal{O}(nk)$  [n = string len, k = alphabet size]
  - For every suffix *s*[*i*..*n*] compute length of shortest non-subsequence *T*[*i*].
  - Define  $f_a(i+1)$  as leftmost occurrence of a in s[i+1..n]
  - $\Rightarrow$  Minimize  $1 + T[f_a(i+1)]$  over all possible chars c
- Improve to  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ :
  - Notice that  $T[f_a(i+1)]$  is either x or x + 1.
  - Of course we prefer x (when all are x + 1, increment x).
  - Keep track of where x's are, and how many of them are still there.
  - This can be all done in  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  time per *i*.

### Pizza Voting



- Alice will veto last third
- Bob will veto first third
- You can choose any in the middle third
- Rounding at the border of thirds

pizza icon by http://www.danilodemarco.com/

### Pizza Voting



- Alice will veto last third
- Bob will veto first third
- You can choose any in the middle third
- Rounding at the border of thirds
- puts((i > n/3 && i <= n-(n+1)/3) ? "YES" : "NO");

pizza icon by http://www.danilodemarco.com/

Jury (GCPC 2014)